## Plagiarism Management: Improving Public Health Students' Academic Writing Skills

David Pike Susan Sapsed Sandra Leggetter

University of Bedfordshire

## Overview

- Where we started from
- Turnitin
- Dealing with students
- Student engagement
- Way forward

#### Some universal constants

• English public transport never runs on time.....

 Learning, speaking and writing in another language is difficult

Whatever you tell students, whatever you say, no matter how many times you repeat the rules.....

(Some) Students will still plagiarise

## Students' expectations verses lecturers' expectations



#### Where we started out from....

- 'It's normally overseas students that plagiarise'
  All students plagiarise overseas or not
- 'Plagiarism is too difficult to deal with it's easier not to bother'
  - The habitual plagiariser learns nothing (Park 2004) what would happen to our reputation if.....
- 'It's better to deal with students in a FIRM manner; that way they won't dare plagiarise material'
  - Negative re-enforcement leads to more cases of plagiarism for lecturers to deal with; students are not learning anything

#### Where do our students start from?

- Plagiarism is taking someone else's words But.....
- What is paraphrasing?
  Paraphrasing....writing it in your own words
- Yes I have copied it but I referenced it....?
- I thought Wikipedia was a suitable source of information....?
- I only copied a little bit.....
- How do I know if I have plagiarised something....?

#### Enter Turnitin®

- 'Plagiarism detection' tool
- We can check students' assignments against a big database of content
  - Has a student copied something?
  - Has a student referenced something incorrectly?
  - Each assignment is given a "similarity" score
    - Based on the amount of matching text
- "Turnitin is going to solve all of my plagiarism problems......."

No...it is going to tell you that there is a problem though.....

🗌 author 🕶	title •	report 🔻	grade	gm	file	paper ID	date 🕶
		57%	22		🕀.rtf	1000	06-12-07
C Martin and		45%			🕣.doc		28-12-07
🗆 itariaite internetiji		38%	-		🔂.doc		11-12-07
T Include: Includes		27%	-		€.doc		05-02-08
C Sections		26%	-		🔂.doc		14-12-07
C 100.000		25%	-		€.doc		24-12-07
		25%			🔂.doc		13-12-07
		25%	-		🔁,doc		14-12-07
		25%	2	8	€.doc		14-12-07
		24%	-		€.doc		13-12-07
		23%	-		🔂.doc		14-12-07
-		23%	-		€.doc		14-12-07
antical in cases		23%	-		🔁.doc		28-12-07
T IT I TANK		19%	-		€.doc		16-12-07
C (0.1000.000		19%	-		🔂.doc		14-12-07
		18%	-		🔁.doc		20-12-07
🗆 mainai mininai		18%	2		🔂.doc		<mark>11-12-07</mark>
T 7000.0000		17%	-		€.doc		14-12-07
🗆 (manenali, fina)		16%	-		🔂.doc		06-12-07
T (10000,000		15%			🔂.doc		19-12-07

Similarity: 97%

1

4

V K

Teams in various forms have become ubiquitous ways of working. As task forces, committees, work groups and quality circles, they are used to provide leadership, accomplish research, maximize creativity and operational structural flexibility

The prescriptions of much contemporary management 1 thinking are based on a dominant ideology of teamwork. While teams have been narrowly construed as a tool of the Organization Development Model, the ideology is much more pervasive. Teams are embraced as tools of diverse models of organizational reform from organization development to work restructuring,

from quality management to industrial democracy 1 and from corporate culture and Japanese management approaches to complex contingency prescriptions. Beliefs about the benefits of teams occupy a central and unquestioned place in organizational reform. It is all the more surprising that, despite some differences in context, the team ideology has been espoused with such consistency. The hegemony of this ideology has been supported by researchers who offer the 'team' as a tantalizingly simple solution to some of the intractable problems of organizational life. Teams appear to satisfy everything at once: individual needs (for sociability, self-actualization, and participative management), organizational needs (for productivity, organizational development, effectiveness) and even society's needs for alleviating the malaise of alienation and other by-products of modern industrial society

 95% match (publications) <u>Sinclair, Amanda. "The tyranny of a team</u> <u>ideology.", Organization Studies, Fall 1992</u> <u>Issue</u>
 1% match (internet from 12/07/06) <u>http://www.uvjosas.com</u>
 1% match (internet from 06/12/03) <u>http://www.fiskforsk.norut.no</u>

1% match (internet from 23/05/03) http://www.workteams.unt.edu



#### So first of all we tried

- Catching students after they had plagiarised material
- 2. Giving students huge rule books full of rules
- Standing in front of big groups of students and telling them all about plagiarism

- 1. We gave ourselves an administrative headache
- 2. Students had no other competing priorities...©
- 3. Very few students learnt by listening



# Teaching students about plagiarism.

- We gave students formative access to submit assignments
  - Turnitin gives each student a similarity index (or score)
  - Students can see fairly quickly if there are 'problematic' areas in their assessment
- The lecturing staff then actively demonstrated and discussed examples of practice (good, bad and ugly) with students

This model is now active across the university





## Plagiarism management - conclusion

- Student/lecturer engagement is the key Imagement is the key
- All students are prone to plagiarise at some point 😕
- Formative access is a good starting point
  - Must develop into summative submissions
- Humans are the best plagiarism detection tool available
- Plagiarism management involves a mix of
  - Detection
  - Feedback
  - Student engagement
  - Positive re-enforcement
  - Leading by example

#### The way forwards



- 2006/2007 4883 assignments
- 2007/2008 8700+ assignments
- University wide adoption

